

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,328	MCNEIL ET AL.
	Examiner John E Chapman	Art Unit 2856

**All Participants:**

(1) John E Chapman.

**Status of Application:** Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John A. Fortkort.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*4 and 23*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amend claim 4 to depend upon claim 1, since claim 3 was cancelled. Cancel claim 23, since it does not appear to further limit claim 20.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)